IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR(CHHATTISGARH)

WRIT PETITION NO. 210 /2003

PETITIONER

Chola Ram Sannadya,
S/o. Late Shri Kalika Prasad Sannadya,
Aged about 19 years,
R/o. Vill. Bitkuli,
Tahsil & P.S. Bilha,
Dist. Bilaspur (C.G.)

P.R. No. 9/1/03
Presented by Shri Anil Pandey
dated 9.1.03

Vs.

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh,
Through Secretary,
Department of Home,
Mantralaya,
D.K.S. Bhavan,
Raipur (C.G.)

2. Director General of Police,
Police HQ.,
Raipur (C.G.)

3. Superintendent of Police,
District Bilaspur (C.G.)

RECEIVED COPY.
9-1-03
CLERK TO A.G. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE NATURE OF CERTIORARI, MANDAMUS, PROHIBITION AND FOR OTHER SUITABLE WRIT OR WRITS, ORDER OR ORDERS, DIRECTION OR DIRECTIONS.

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP 210/03 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

16-12-2005

Shri Manindra Shrivastava, Sr. Advocate, with Shri Ashish Shrivastava, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Shri Sandeep Dubey, Govt. Advocate, for the State/respondents.

Heard on I.A. No.6070/2004 for impleading Union of India as the party respondent and amendment in the petition.

The application is allowed. Union of India be impleaded as the party respondent and the amendment be incorporated in the body of the petition.

Shri S.K. Beriwal, Sr. Panel Lawyer, takes notice on behalf of the Union of India.

Extra copy of the petition with annexures be supplied to Shri Beriwal.

Heard.

By this petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has sought compassionate appointment.

Brief facts are that the father of the petitioner was Constable in the police department, who died on 6/6/1985, while in service. At the time of his death, the petitioner was minor. On attaining the age of majority, the petitioner applied for compassionate appointment on 7/9/2001 (Annexure-P/3). The said application was rejected vide order dated 7/9/2001 (Annexure-P/4). Thereafter, the present petition was filed in the year 2003.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that for the present the petitioner may be permitted to make the detailed representation to the authorities concerned, which shall be considered and decided on its own merits in accordance with law.

Not opposed.

[पीछे देखिये

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP 210/03 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

96

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

Having thus considered, it is directed that the petitioner may submit the detailed representation before the competent authorities of the respondents within a period of six week from today and on such representation being filed by the petitioner, the competent authorities of the respondents may decide the same on its own merits in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of receipt of the said representation. If the grievance still remains, the petitioner may avail the remedy available under the law.

With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
Fakhruddin
Judge

H/-

[पीछे देखिये

21.10.06 17.10.06 16/10/06 30/8/07